

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,514	07/16/2003	Ricardo M. Attar	D0287 NP	3956
23914	7590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
LOUIS J. WILLE			HAMA, JOANNE	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1632	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,514	ATTAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joanne Hama, Ph.D.	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiller to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 March 2006</u> .						
<i>;</i> <u> </u>	· —					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/620,514

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant filed a response to the Non-Final Action of December 29, 2005 on March 30, 2006. Claims 1, 4, 11, 12, 14 are amended. Claims 15-20 are new.

Claims 1-20 are under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant has submitted an IDS on March 30, 2006. This has been considered.

New/Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-14 <u>remain rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility, for reasons or record, December 29, 2005. Claims 15-20 are <u>newly rejected</u> for reasons of record, December 29, 2005.

Applicant indicates that claims 1, 11, 14 have been amended and that the amendments are supported by a substantial asserted utility and/or a well established utility (Applicant's response, page 5). While Applicant provides this assertion, the Examiner does not find the statement persuasive. As indicated on pages 4-5 of the Office Action, further study to on the claimed product does not constitute a substantial utility. Further, while Applicant indicates that the claim amendments are supported by the specification, Applicant does not indicate wherein in the specification there is

Art Unit: 1632

support. As such, the claimed product has no substantial and specific utility and claims 1-20 <u>remain rejected</u>.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-14 <u>remain rejected</u> under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement for reasons of record, December 29, 2005.

Claims 15-20 are <u>newly rejected</u> for reasons of record, December 29, 2005.

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant indicates that no undue experimentation is required to practice the claimed invention (Applicant's response, page 6). Applicant indicates that the specification teaches the generation and breeding of the transgenic mice harboring the ARE-LUC/CMV-rAR construct, wherein expression of the transgene was detected. Applicant also indicates that the specification provides guidance with respect to the direction in which the experimentation should proceed to enable the determination of how to practice a desired embodiment of the invention claimed (Applicant's response, page 6). While Applicant provides this assertion, the Examiner does not find the statement persuasive. As indicated on pages 7-10 of the Office Action, the art teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/620,514

Art Unit: 1632

there is unpredictability in making transgene constructs and using them in heterologous non-human mammals. As such, the specification does not enable an artisan to practice the claimed invention for its breadth of any non-human mammal. In addition to this, nothing in the specification teaches what the claimed mammals are models for. As such, the specification does not enable an artisan to use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. While Applicant has amended claim 4 to correct the antecedent basis, claim 7, which depends on claim 4 lacks antecedent basis. There is no mouse in claim 4.

Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's response, page 6, filed March 30, 2006, with respect to claims 11 and 12 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Applicant has amended the claims. The rejection of claims 11 and 12 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

No claims allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight

Application/Control Number: 10/620,514

Art Unit: 1632

Page 6

(EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER